The Role of Universities in
Regional Green Economies:A Perspective from the University Officethat is at the Nexus of Research & Business

Innovating the Green Economy 2010 Jan 21

Michael Cohen UC Berkeley Office of Technology Licensing (OTL) <u>mcohen@berkeley.edu</u> 510-643-7201



OTL Office of Technology Licensing UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY

Agenda: 5-10 Minutes

- 1. General Potential for Universities to Drive Regional Green Economies
 - 2. Range of Alternative Approaches for Universities (& Gov)
 - 3. Specific Examples of UCB Approaches (via Tech Commercialization Office)

Potential of University-Driven Regional Economy

Local corporate R&D centers based on university collaborations

- ➢ No historical data, just anecdotal:
- ▶ Intel Lablette, Siemens TTB, Starkey R&D, BP EBI, BWRC, etc
- ➢ In a decade, western edge of campus will have dozens of corp R&D offices
- □ Start-ups based on university *innovations**
 - > Over past 5 years, UCB has done about 30-40 IP rights agreements per year
 - About half of those agreements are with start-ups
 - About half of those start-ups are commercializing green technologies
 - Many regions only dream about that economic development pipeline
 - Opportunity for 2 scenarios (City of Berkeley Planning Commission, Feb 2009)...

^{*} These numbers are comprised of the start-ups that leveraged UC Berkeley's intellectual property (i.e. patentable inventions & copyrightable software). The numbers don't include other UC Berkeley spin-outs that didn't leverage the University's intellectual property.

University-Driven Potential: 2 Scenarios

Scenario: SQUANDERING the Opportunity (25% attract; 25% retain)									
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Assumptions			
New Startups	15	15	15	15	15	15			
Attract: Stay Local	4	4	4	4	4	25%			
Shut-down in Yr 1	0	-1	-1	-1	-1	25%			
Retain: Move-out in Yr 1	0	-3	-3	-3	-3	25%			
Retain: Move-out in Yr 2	0	0	-1	-1	-1	25%			
Aggregate Growing Corps	4	4	3	2	2				
Scenario: LEVERAGING the Opportunity (75% attract; 75% retain)									
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Assumptions			
New Startups	15	15	15	15	15	15			
Attract: Stay Local	11	11	11	11	11	75%			
Shut-down in Yr 1	0	-3	-3	-3	-3	25%			
Retain: Move-out in Yr 1	0	-3	-3	-3	-3	75%			
Retain: Move-out in Yr 2	0	0	-2	-2	-2	75%			
Aggregate Growing Corps	11	17	20	24	27				

University Approaches For Driving the Economy



University Approaches: Unique Situations

□ Situations vary for each university

- ➢ UC Berkeley vs UC Merced vs UT Austin vs MIT vs NUS etc
- Variables: innovations, entrepreneurs, venture capital, ecosystem?
 - Deficiencies?
 - Maximum incremental value?

UC Berkeley

- Increase innovative research
- Increase entrepreneurial ecosystem (education, programs, events, etc)

□ What about the tech commercialization office (AKA Tech Transfer)?

Research to Determine How University Innovations Get Commercialized

□ How do university innovations get commercialized?

> What catalyzed the commercialization?

➤ How is university involved in the process?

Researched over 50 UC Berkeley spin-outs

- Spin-out profiles formed 4 clusters / patterns
- Developed a useful (but simplified) framework...

Commercializing: 4 Pathways for Univ Tech



Commercialization: *Morphed*, Mined, Milked, Marketed



 \succ Some ignore or abscond with IP

Commercialization: Morphed, Mined, Milked, Marketed



Commercialization: Morphed, Mined, Milked, Marketed



 <u>Examples</u> (*that licensed IP*): Analog Devices, Ecoprene (XL Tech), Google, Honeywell, Intel, Berkeley Bionics (first morphed then milked)

Drivers:

- Great sponsored research with optimized terms (i.e. 1st access, NERF, open source, etc)
- Off-campus corporate labs (i.e. BWRC, Intel, Cadence, Yahoo, Starkey, etc)

$\Box \underline{IP}:$

- ➢ Some jointly own IP
- Some obtain a license to legally use IP or thwart competitors
- \triangleright Some ignore or abscond with IP

Commercialization: Morphed, Mined, Milked, Marketed



Examples of UCB Approaches via Tech Comm Office: Integrate EB Green Corridor into 4Ms



Univ Role in Driving Regional Green Economy

2/24/10

□ Key points

- Potential for univ-driven econ dev: squander vs leverage
- > 4M university pathways: *morphed*, *mined*, *milked*, *marketed*
- > EB Green Corridor is an approach to univ-driven econ dev

□ Follow up

- http://IPIRA.berkeley.edu
- Michael Cohen; mcohen@berkeley.edu

Locating: 4 Steps* Into Community

* Simplified Model

Virtual StepBaby StepBig StepBig Leap(Dorm, Apt,(Free space:(Pay for space)(Pay for space)Cafe, Libe, etc)Incubator, Garage, etc)with growth)Locating into the Community

Locating: Competitive Attributes

	Cost									
ributes	Capacity (appropriate space)									
	Capital (easy access to VC)									
	Change (inertia of relocating)									
Att	Coolness (of space & hood)									
	Customers (proximity / density)									
(proz	Credibility kimity to known corps)									
(recruiti	Colleagues									
(fi	Commute nish degree/relocate)									
	Collaboration (with UCB people)									
		Virtual Step (Dorm, Apt,	Baby Step (Free space:	Big Step (Pay for space)	Big Leap (Pay for space					
		Cafe, Libe, etc) Incubator, Garage, etc) with growth) Locating into the Community								

Locating: Baby Steps





